04-18-03

APR 17 2003

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Applicant of

Applicant: CHO, Yong-Jun Serial No: 10/040,567

Filed: 01/07/2002

For: Semi Sandwich Panel Examiner: Steven M. Marsh

RECEIVED

APR 2 9 2003

**GROUP 3600** 

# PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37CFR 1.136(a)

The Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks under the provisions of 37CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a reply in the above identified application to the Office Action(a copy of which is attached) dated December 12, 2002 for one month extension from March 18, 2003 to April 18, 2003 to avoid abandonment.

The appropriate non-entity fee for the requested extension of time for one (1) month under 37 CFR 1.17 (a) (1) is \$110.00.

In the event that the above requested one month extension of time is insufficient, the applicant respectfully requests that the Commission of Patents and Trademarks grants an added two (2) or three (3) month extension of time, as may be required.

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge the fee above for the extension of time to Deposit Account No. 50-1092, or any additional fees that may be required for filing a sufficient extension to submit the required Declaration.

A duplicate copy of this request is enclosed.

Bidyut K. Niyogi Reg No. 27,071

1900 Harbor City Blvd., Ste 301 Melbourne, Florida 32901

Tel: 321-729-9615 Fax: 321-729-9635

04/22/2003 BSAYASI1 00000046 501092 10040567

01 FC:1251 110.00 CH

DIPERS		
APR 1 7.1203		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/040,567	CHO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Stèven M Marsh	3632
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	o. 2x parto quejro, roco en	
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		RECEIVED
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. APR 2 9 2003		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. GROUP 3600 Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the p     application from the International     See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paner Note.	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

# APR, 1 7 2000 ....

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Applicant of

Applicant: CHO, Yong-Jun Serial No: 10/040,567 Filed: 01/07/2002

For: Semi Sandwich Panel Examiner: Steven M. Marsh

### **CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.10**

The Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

I hereby certify that this request for filing a Petition For Extension of Time is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date April 17, 2003 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label Number **ET145595165US** addresses to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Attn: Steven M. Marsh, Art Unit 3632, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Bidyut K. Niyogi Reg No. 27,071 RECEIVED

APR 2 9 2003

**GROUP 3600** 

1900 Harbor City Blvd, Ste 301 Melbourne, Florida 32901

Tel: 321-729-9615 Fax: 321-729-9635